

FLIP CHART INFORMATION TAKEN AT FORUM ON TRANSPORTATION
INVESTMENT MEETING 11/29/05 – HOLIDAY INN, BOISE

- Section to be added: Efficiencies
- Modify Public Transit Funding Section
- 5311 ability to match with local funds – problem needs to be included
- Impact of Federal Highway Fund on GARVEE
- Maintenance costs –shortfall currently + in the future due to increased highway expenditure. Tom & Dwight to address in the report
- Standardization of specs – Efficiencies Section?
- Scenarios to illustrate for Policy makers what these options might look like – result in – for the future
- Clarify chart on Pg 66 – not the total solution, just a part of the total mix
- Executive Summary need to pull on of the pieces together (or Chairperson's summary)
- Rec. should be available to all jurisdictions
- Clarify Tire Fee/Tax
- Relieve Congestion – inappropriate word – Manage congestion? Anticipate congestion?
- Pg 80 – Mixing Medicare & Medicaid

Conclusions

- Land Use & planning – need some background – how can we incorporate this? Greater consideration needs to be given to this. Perhaps in section “C”. Tie also to Efficiency Section
- “B” Tourism stats – ARTBA Stats
- “C” – change to State “road” system- address state transit system under “D” - identify the gap (maybe H will be enough)
- “D” – reword title to be positive – Combine bullet a & c Substitute “transportation” with “highway”
- “E” – Discuss impact for GARVEE – Need to clarify the role/impact of Federal funding
- “F” – Clarify what role of GARVEE – How much of this \$20 Billion is part or not part of GARVEE – How does it fit. Does not impact the shortfall. Charlie to review new bullet

Page 2 - FLIP CHART INFORMATION TAKEN AT FORUM ON
TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENT MEETING 11/29/05 – HOLIDAY INN, BOISE

- “H” – No dedicated revenue stream in State funding – no local option. Need to emphasize the high level of interest from other rural areas all over the State. Info from Kootenai County and Charlie
- Can we reference back to Visioning Doc? – Appendix?
- These are not new conclusions
- Bullet “D” - Need to clarify the statistics regarding public transportation
- “I” – State that there are other ways to look at regression – but for decision-making – more analysis needed. Jim K to re-write this section
- Note that fuel tax is not inadequate if other funding sources are available.
- Use this section to address all funding issues – fuel tax as a sub-set.
- Historically... have relied on fuel tax ... need to look at this – Combine I and J
- Note – Fuel tax limited to Roads – can we make a note of this?
- Replace list on PG 7 with.....
- “K” – Mention Barge traffic/Airline – Charlie to provide
- Need to re-state – not asking for investment, but recognizing the importance. Speak in a more general sense.
- “L” – change may to will – “a” – re-word jurisdiction (there are 300!) – Change perhaps to District or class of Highway Jurisdiction
- “M” – Change “motor fuel” to gasoline and Diesel.
- Add bullet – Part of that preparation is gathering information and looking at alternatives
- Dynamic Process